

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Audiess.	COMMISSIONER OF FATERITS AND TRADEMARKS				
	Washington, D.C. 20231				

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.		
09/116.537	07/16/98	SAMARAS		G	389301	
— MICHAEL R FRISCIA FRISCIA & NUSSBAUM		PM51/0128 ☐		EXAMINER		
				ARK., D		
ONE UNIVER				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
HACKENSACK	NJ 07601			3643	3	
				DATE MAILED:	01/28/99	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

·9	1
	09
Office Action Summary	
Office Action Summary	Examine

Application No. /116,537 Applicant(s)

Samaras

Examiner

Group Art Unit

3643



	Darren Ark	3643	
Responsive to communication(s) filed on			•
☐ This action is FINAL .			
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance excel in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> ,		n as to the me	rits is closed
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Fai application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Ext 37 CFR 1.136(a).	ilure to respond within the period	d for response	will cause the
Disposition of Claims			
	is/are	pending in the	application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are w	ithdrawn from	consideration.
Claim(s)	is	/are allowed.	
Claim(s)	is	/are rejected.	
Claim(s)			to.
	are subject to restrict	ion or election	requirement.
Application Papers See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draftsperson's Pate	objected to by the Examiner.	disapproved.	
 □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign prid □ All □ Some* □ None of the CERTIFIED cop □ received. □ received in Application No. (Series Code/Seria □ received in this national stage application from *Certified copies not received: □ Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic prid 	ies of the priority documents had lead to the lead of the priority documents had lead to the lead of the lead (PCT F	ve been _ · Rule 17.2(a)).	·
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited, PTO-892 ☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Page ☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413 ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PT ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152 			
SEE OFFICE ACTION	ON THE FOLLOWING PAGES		

Office Action Summary

Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restriction

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention: Species I - Fig. 1; Species II - Fig. 2; Species III - Fig. 3; Species IV - Figs. 4A & 4B.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 09/116537 Page 3

Art Unit: 3643

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

2. A telephone call was made to Attorney Michael Friscia on Monday, January 25, 1999 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include an election of the invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143).

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to **Darren Ark** whose telephone number is **(703) 305-3733**. The examiner can normally be reached on **Monday-Friday** from **8:30-6:00**.

DWA DWA

January 25, 1999

MICHAEL J. CARONE SUBERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER